Project Scotland June 2016 **BTO Solicitors**

Procurement changes: double trouble or more 'bang for our buck'? The Public Contracts Substantially in force 18 April 2016 (Sotland) and procurements or procurements or 18 (Sotland) in force 18 April 2016 (Sotland) and procurements or 18 (Sotland) in force 18 April 2016 (Sotland) and procurements or 18 (Sotland) in force 18 April 2016 (Sotland) and procurements or 18 (Sotland) in force 18 April 2016 (Sotland) and procurements or 18 (Sotland) and procurement



By Claire Mills, Associate, Construction & **Engineering Team, BTO Solicitors**

Scottish Government. comply?

of public contracts in tem mean Scotland award damages. So changed on a project Scotland is now sub- gets more 'bang for its what is new? ject to two sets of new buck' or does it spell rules - one imposed as double trouble for con- The EU Regulations: a result of EU Directives tracting authorities and • A number of proce-thorities must consider

The stated aim of the The remedies avail- mum time limits for ther, a contracting aulegislation is to make able to aggrieved ten- procurement exercises, thority may opt to make the public spend deliver derers where the con- clarity on pre-market payment direct to supwider social, economic tracting authority gets it consultation and the ply chain members but and environmental ben- wrong are broadly simi- introduction of the Eu- need not - so not the efits as well as provid- lar for the two pieces ropean Single Procure- panacea to prompt paying better access for of legislation: awarded ment Document (ESPD) ment the supply chain contracts can be set which replaces the old may have hoped for.

and another 'second tenderers seeking to dural changes have breaking a contract into layer' introduced by the navigate the rules and been introduced, in- lots and give reasons cluding shorter mini- for not doing so. Fur-

procurement Will the two-tier sys- aside or a Court can 'sPQQ' and cannot be by project basis.

 To improve access for SMEs, contracting auor cost.

The Scottish Act:

and not the lowest price procurements in any fi- £4m. nancial year aggregated to £5m+) must prepare Excluding bidders and publish a procure- - both the EU Regula- In carrying out any ment strategy following tions and the Scottish 'regulated procure- consultation with local Act contain broadly ments ' contracting stakeholders. The strat- similar mandatory and authorities must com- egy must include the discretionary grounds ply with the sustain- contracting authority's that can be applied able procurement duty, general policy on the to exclude a business which includes consid- use of community ben- from bidding for a puberation of how a pro- efits and its approach lic contract: curement can improve to considering the fair . There are new mandabeing of an area; involve minimum wage) of bid- social security obliga-

tenders?

 Tenders must be • Those contracting • Including community • There are other disawarded on the basis of authorities with a 'sig- benefit requirements cretionary grounds for the most economically nificant procurement must be considered for exclusion. One that advantageous tender expenditure' (regulated 'major projects' over may concern potential

of that prior contract, the economic, social work practices (includ- tory grounds including damages or other comand environmental well- ing payment of the living a failure to meet tax or parable sanctions". SMEs, third sector bod- ders. Will bidders find tions and where the bid- dated and ascertained when implementing the ies and supported busi- these strategies helpful der is in breach of the damages for late com- EU directive into nationnesses; and promote in better responding to so-called 'Blacklisting pletion on one project, allaw. Regulations'.

bidders is the right to exclude a bidder that "has shown significant or persistent deficiencies in the performance of a substantive requirement under a prior public contract, a prior contract with a contracting entity or a prior con-

Could suffering liquimean exclusion from

cession contract which

led to early termination

concept of a procurement' where rules apply to public contracts - £2m+ for works, £50k+ for accompanying statutory guidance which is mandatory authorities to follow

bidding for another?

Divergence from the rest of the UK?

It is worthy of note that EU member states were afforded some discretion in certain areas

The Scottish Govern-

ment has taken a different approach from Westminster in certain areas so there are now differences north and south of the border for 'above EU threshold' procurements.

Of course, the Scottish Act is only applicable to Scottish contracting authorities.